

Non-linearities in quantum circuits

Serge Florens [Néel Institute - CNRS/UGA Grenoble]

"A gentle journey into not-so-gentle quantum many-body problems"

[:] Non-linearities in quantum circuits

Plan and purpose of the lecture

Organization of the lectures

Superconducting nanocircuits

- Lecture 1 : i) General circuitry; ii) From Josephson junctions chains to spin-boson model
- Lecture 2 : i) Scattering theory with dynamical emitter; ii) Frequency conversion beyond RWA; iii) Renormalization from many-body entanglement; iv) Many-body inelastic scattering theory

Metallic nanocircuits

- Lecture 3 : i) Anderson and Kondo models; ii) DC Transport;
 iii) Non Fermi liquid extensions
- Lecture 4 (closing the loop) : i) Boson-fermion connection in first quantization (using quantum optics concepts); ii) Insights into the Kondo wave-function; iii) Emergent Majorana fermions

Why emphasis on superconducting circuits?

1) Topic is timely with fast progresses :



Multiple qubit architecture

2) Connects two topics of the school :



Strong link to lectures by Pothier (superconductivity), Splettstoesser (scattering theory), Waintal (finite frequency transport, Luttinger liquids), Alet (many-body wave-functions)

3) Pedagogical : allows to simplify at best tricky concepts

No quasiparticle excitation in the Fermi sea : life is easy !

A quick preview on physical systems

Lithographically-defined nano-circuits :

Typical length scale : L = 100 nm





+1µm

Complex designs :



: Non-linearities in quantum circuits

Scales at play (mesoscopic domain)

Typical length : L = 100nm

Energies :

• Electrostatic :
$$E = \frac{e^2}{4\pi\epsilon_0 L} \simeq 10^{-22} \text{ J} \simeq 10^{-3} \text{eV}$$

• Confinement : $E = \frac{\hbar^2 \pi^2}{m_e L^2} \simeq 10^{-23} \text{ J} \simeq 10^{-4} \text{eV}$

Temperature :
$$T = \frac{E}{k_B} \simeq 1 \text{K}$$
 for $E = 10^{-4} \text{ eV}$

<u>Frequency</u> : $f = \frac{E}{2\pi\hbar} \simeq 20$ GHz for $E = 10^{-4}$ eV

At cryogenic temperatures (T = 50 mK) :

- Single electron charge operations possible
- Quantum confinement takes place (artificial atoms)
- Efficient control by microwave radiation

Why do it (besides for the interesting physics)

To build (or not to build?) a quantum computer :



To understand correlated matter in simpler settings :



What do we mean by non-linearities?

Exemples of non-linear phenomena :

- Output not proportional to input
- Transport resonances
- Frequency conversion

In addition, more fundamentally :

- System cannot be described by independent individual entities
 ⇒ forget Landauer-Büttiker
- ► and neither by independent collective entities (on all scales) ⇒ forget Fermi liquid theory, Luttinger liquids...

A word on methodology in many-body problem

No perfect and unique approach : Full understanding comes by shining light from various directions



Great variety of methods :

- From simple (but approximate) ones : mean-field, perturbation theory, slave bosons...
- ... To more "exact" (but more technical) ones : many-body Green's functions and Feynman diagrams, Bethe Ansatz, Conformal Field Theory, Bosonization, advanced numerical algorithms (QMC, NRG, DMRG...)

<u>For this lecture :</u> I will use mostly wave-functions, that are more familiar conceptually, more transparent physically

Map of the Hilbert space

Target the physical sector : states accessible upon "reasonable" protocol within decoherence time



How to span the physical sector?

- ► For low entanglement (1d) : Matrix Product States
- Alternative : quantum superposition of "classical" states
- Advantages : input/output friendly, decoherence friendly

Superconducting circuitry : waveguides

Josephson junction = high inductance lossless element

Josephson relations : Φ is phase difference across a junction



$$\Rightarrow V = \frac{\hbar}{2el_c} \frac{\partial I}{\partial t} = L_J \frac{\partial I}{\partial t} \quad \Rightarrow \quad Z_J(\omega) = iL_J \omega$$

- ► Josephson inductance density : $\ell_J \simeq 1 \text{ nH}/\mu\text{m} = 10^4 \ell_{\text{geometric}}$
- Geometric self-inductance density : $\ell_{\rm geometric} = \mu_0/(4\pi) = 10^{-7} \text{ H/m} = 10^{-4} \text{ nH}/\mu\text{m}$

On the board : Josephson relations

Crash course on circuit-QED for LC-resonator



- ► Tiny electromagnetic signals generated by charge fluctuations of order $\delta Q \simeq 2e$ and flux fluctuations of order $\delta \phi \simeq \hbar/2e$
- Vacuum reached when $k_B T \ll \hbar/\sqrt{LC}$ and low loss

Josephson junction as a circuit element

Linearized limit (non-linearities for later) :



Impedance:
$$Z(\omega) = \frac{1}{\frac{1}{iL_J\omega} + jC\omega} = \frac{iL_J\omega}{1 - L_JC\omega^2}$$

<u>Resonance frequency</u>: $f = \frac{1}{2\pi} \sqrt{\frac{1}{L_J C}}$

 $L_J \simeq 1$ nH, $C \simeq 10$ pF $\Rightarrow f \simeq 1$ GHz microwave range

Lumped element limit : Maxwell \rightarrow individual circuit elements

- Associated wavelength $\lambda = \frac{c}{f} \simeq 20$ cm
- $\lambda \gg \text{jonction size} (a \text{ few } \mu \text{m})$

1D Waveguides : optimize coupling to single emitter

Optical domain : requires nanostructuration



- Surface plasmonic modes in metallic nanowires
- Waveguide in photonic crystal
- Tapered optical fiber

Microwave domain : human scale !



On the board : propagation in waveguide



On the board : propagation in waveguide



Telegraph equation for LC waveguide :

$$I(x,t) = I_1 e^{i\omega[t - \sqrt{\ell c_g}x]} + I_2 e^{i\omega[t + \sqrt{\ell c_g}x]}$$
$$V(x,t) = \sqrt{\frac{\ell}{c_g}} \left[-I_1 e^{i\omega[t - \sqrt{\ell c_g}x]} + I_2 e^{i\omega[t + \sqrt{\ell c_g}x]} \right]$$

Impedance of waveguide : $Z(\omega) = \sqrt{\ell/c_g} = \sqrt{L/C_g} \simeq 3k\Omega$ <u>Crucial point</u> : propagation with velocity $v = 1/\sqrt{\ell c_g} \simeq c/50$ High inductance \Rightarrow slow light! \Rightarrow stronger light-matter coupling

Josephson junction arrays

Waveguide : a chain of tunnel-coupled superconducting islands



<u>Generic Hamiltonian :</u> valid for $T \ll T_c^{\text{BCS}}$ $H = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j} (2e)^2 n_i [\hat{C}^{-1}]_{ij} n_j - \sum_i E_J \cos(\Phi_i - \Phi_{i+1})$

<u> $n - \Phi$ are conjugate variables</u> : $[\hat{n}_j, \hat{\Phi}_l] = i\delta_{j,l}$ Non-linearities are controlled by the ratio of charging energy $E_C = (2e)^2/C$ and Josephson $E_I = \hbar^2/[(2e)^2L_J]$ energy

On the board : classical normal modes (general)

On the board : classical normal modes (general)

General result :

 ω_k^2 are the eigenvalues of symmetric matrix $\hat{C}^{-1/2}\hat{L}^{-1}\hat{C}^{-1/2}$

valid for any capacitance matrix (even non-uniform waveguides)

On the board : quantum normal modes (waveguide)

On the board : quantum normal modes (waveguide)

Diagonal form :

$$\mathcal{H}_{\mathrm{chain}} = \sum_{k} \hbar \omega_{k} a_{k}^{\dagger} a_{k}$$

Spectrum : (same as classically)

$$\hbar\omega_k = 2\left|\sin(\frac{k}{2})\right| \sqrt{\frac{E_J(2e)^2}{C_g + 4C_J\sin^2(k/2)}}$$

k = ap dimensionless momentum

Low frequency limit : $\omega_k \simeq k \sqrt{E_J(2e)^2/C_g} = k/\sqrt{L_J C_g}$ we recover slow light

High frequency limit : ω_k saturates at ω_P

[:] Non-linearities in quantum circuits

Experimental measurement (@ NEEL) Finite chain coupled to 50 Ω lines : "giant Fabry-Perot cavity"



 $T = 20 \text{ mK} \Leftrightarrow f_{\text{thermal}} = \frac{k_B T}{h} = 0.4 \text{ GHz} \ll f_{\text{modes}}$: quantum limit Velocity from dispersion relation : $v \simeq 6.10^6 \text{m/s} = c/50$ (slow light)

Tuning the modes

Chain of SQUIDS : $L_J(\phi)$ is flux dependent



Very clean signal : disorder is quite weak

Superconducting circuitry : emitter

Artificial atom engineering

Cooper pair box : gate-tuned Josephson grain

- For (2e)²/C ≫ E_J, charge is locked ⇒ strong phase fluctuations
- Maximize non-linear effects, but not optimal w.r.t. noise



On the board : charge qubit

On the board : charge qubit

At charge degeneracy point :

$$H = \frac{(2e)^2}{2C} [\hat{N} - N_g]^2 - E_J \cos \hat{\Phi}$$
$$\Rightarrow H = -E_J \frac{\sigma_x}{2}$$

Two-level system :

$$egin{aligned} & \left|g
ight
angle = rac{\left|\uparrow
ight
angle + \left|\uparrow
ight
angle}{\sqrt{2}} \ & \left|e
ight
angle = rac{\left|\uparrow
ight
angle - \left|\uparrow
ight
angle}{\sqrt{2}} \end{aligned}$$

On the board : effective Hamiltonian

On the board : effective Hamiltonian

Spin boson model : [Leggett et al. RMP (1987)]

$$H = \frac{E_{JQ}}{2}\sigma_{\mathsf{x}} - \sigma_{\mathsf{z}}\sum_{k}\frac{g_{k}}{2}(a_{k}^{\dagger} + a_{k}) + \sum_{k}\omega_{k}a_{k}^{\dagger}a_{k}$$

Detailed derivation in waveguide QED :

Double dot setup : [LeHur, PRB (2012); Goldstein *et al.* PRL (2013)] Cooper pair box : [Snyman, Florens, PRB (2015)]

$$\frac{\text{Spectral density}:}{J(\omega) = \text{Im}\langle \hat{n}_0(\omega) \hat{n}_0(-\omega) \rangle = \pi \sum_k g_k^2 \delta(\omega - \omega_k) = 2\pi \alpha \omega e^{-\omega/\omega_P}$$

Interaction strength : effective fine structure constant

$$\alpha = 2 \left(\frac{C_{cQ}}{C_{cQ} + C_{gQ} + 2C_{JQ}} \right)^2 \frac{Z_{\text{chain}}}{R_Q} \text{ with } R_Q = \frac{(2e)^2}{h} \simeq 6 \mathrm{k}\Omega$$

 α can reach values of order 1

Preliminary experimental results (NEEL) Inline qubit coupling :

Qubit in regime between CPB (too noisy) and transmon (too linear)



[Nicolas Roch et al., unpublished]

Preliminary experimental results

Inline coupled qubit :



Multimode ultra-strong coupling



Here $\alpha < 0.1$ only, but already a premice of physics beyond standard quantum optics

[:] Non-linearities in quantum circuits

On the menu of the next lecture

- Scattering theory with a dynamical degree of freedom
- How to cut a photon into 3 pieces
- Renormalization from many-body entanglement
- Many-body inelastic scattering theory using coherent states